

ALACHUA COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CAPP FY2010-2011 Discussion Jack Durrance Auditorium Room 209 12 SE 1st Street

January 18, 2011 Special Meeting 130 PM

Call to Order

Adoption of Agenda

Agenda Items

Discussion Items

 Community Agency Partnership Program (CAPP) BoCC establishing policies and procedures for the FY2011/12 (Amended)

Amount: \$900,297

Recommended Action: Request the BoCC approve staff recommendations below regarding the establishment of policies and guidelines in the area of allowable expenditures for the FY2011/12 1. On the issue of CAPP's funding goal, staff makes no recommendation to the BoCC and awaits BoCC direction; 2. On the issue of funding categories, staff makes no recommendation to the BoCC and awaits BoCC direction; 3. On the issue of 501(c)(3) taxexemption status being a requirement of all applicants, staff recommends that applicants be required to have 501(c)(3) status and that it be current at the time of application. In addition to being tax exempt, 501(c)(3) organizations, commonly known as charitable organizations, cannot be organized or operated for the benefit of private interests, are eligible to receive tax-deductible contributions, and have restrictions on lobbying activities.4. On the issue of late applications, staff recommends that the BoCC not allow acceptance of late applications; 5. On the issue of mandatory workshop attendance, staff recommends that prospective applicant agencies be required to participate in a pre-application workshop (in-person, online or via DVD), and that the rules and parameters of the workshop attendance be determined jointly by the County Attorney's Office and the Office of Purchasing; 6. On the issue of establishment of the maximum number of program funding requests per applicant, staff recommends there be no limit; 7. On the issue of establishment of a maximum funding amount per program, staff recommends there be no limit; 8. On the issue of expenditures must directly benefit current program participants, as opposed to enriching the actual applicant agency, staff recommends continuation of this policy;9. On the issue of arts/cultural, historical and environmental enrichment programs, staff makes no recommendation but awaits BoCC direction; 10. On the issue of economic opportunity

CAPP FY2010-2011 Discussion Jack Durrance Auditorium Room 209

programs not being eligible for funding, staff makes no recommendation and awaits BoCC direction;11. On the issue of economic development programs not being eligible for funding, staff makes no recommendation and awaits BoCC direction;

Commission General and Informal Discussion

Public Comments

Adjourn

CAPP (Community Agency Partnership Program) Process

- 1. Pre-application Workshop online, in-person and/or DVD
- 2. Applications submitted to Purchasing Office by deadline no late applications accepted.
- 3. Applications screened by CAPP staff and Purchasing for eligibility and completeness.
- 4. Advisory Committee scores written evaluations.
- 5. Eligible applicants make oral presentations to Advisory Committee.
- 6. Oral presentations scored by Advisory Committee.
- Scores are compiled by the Office of Purchasing. Scores determine range of funding from 0% to 100% consideration. Programs cannot be recommended by the Committee for funding beyond their qualifying range.
- Advisory Committee discusses and generates award recommendations. Recommendations are reached by consensus, not vote.
- 9. BoCC decides awards.



Section 1: 2010/2011 (RFA 11-198) CAPP REQUEST FOR FUNDING FACE SHEET

Agency Name:	The same of the sa	94030		
(as file	d with the Florida Department of State Di	vision of Corpore	ations)	
Agency Type: National	Regional Local (not national	or regional)		
Mailing Address:	encomplete transfer of the		Y1112	-33
Street Address:				10 (A) (A)
Phone: () ext.	<u>Fax</u> : ()			
agency Representative (Name, Title	, Phone & E-Mail):			
1				
erson Completing Application (Na	me, Title, Phone & E-Mail):			
La reputation		La La Seguida		
PROGRAM	CATEGORY	08/09 CAPP AMT	09/10 CAPP AMT	CURRENT
	Food Health Care Housing/Utilities (SEE DESCRIPTIONS)	s	s	s
	Food Health Care Housing/Utilities (SEE DESCRIPTIONS)	s	s	s
	TOTALS	S: \$	s	s
unding is consistent with our organization's mis	ware that the information contained in this funding pro- ssion, Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, and that to orized agency representative either attended the pre-a	his application for CA	APP funding was au	thorized by the Board
he following Authorized Agency Representa	American Income Company	1000	-	
	Viewed Instructional Video Online	_ Viewed Instructio	nal Video on DVD	4.0.0
Board Chair/Board President Signatur	е -	Board Secreta	ry Signature	_
Typed or Legibly Printed Name		Typed or Legib	oly Printed Name	
				_
Data		n		

Section 2: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

	PROGRAM NAME:	COMPLETE
CAPP FUNDING AMOUNT (Approve	FY 19/10 FY 1 d) \$ (Requested) \$	
LENGTH OF TIME THIS P	ROGRAM HAS BEEN OPERATED BY	APPLICANT: years months
# OF YEARS PROGRAM H	AS RCVD FUNDING FROM ALACHU	A COUNTY (Both CAPP & non-CAPP):
PROGRAM SUMMARY:		Meriblia 199
AT A MED OF DADTICH AN	TO THE DROOP AN AREL CERVE DIE	DRIC THE CARD CRANT BERIOD
	TS THE PROGRAM WILL SERVE DU	
NUMBER OF PARTICIPAN	TS THE PROGRAM HAS SERVED TH	US FAR FOR 09/10:
DAYS OF THE WEEK THE	PROGRAM WILL BE CONDUCTED:	PROGRAM HOURS:
FUNDS WILL BE SPENT O	N (Please refer to Funding Restrictions in	Instructions packet): List
TERRITORIS 18	4 1 10	165 360
FY 08/09:	GOALS ACHIEVED:	
	GOALS NOT ACHIEVED:	
	TO BE COMPLETED BY CAPP A	
A PR Funding Perommender	ion: \$	DVISORT BOARD
C .		Leveraged Funds:YesNo
		And the state of t

TAB: PROGRAM Section 3: PROGRAM SUMMARY (15 questions)

AGEN	CY: PROGRAM:
1.	Program Description: Describe the program in detail. Include the specific services the program will provid how often, when, how many Alachua County residents will be served, target audience, program goals, etc.
2.	Program History & Need: What problem(s) or issue(s) will the program address? Why is the program needed specifically in Alachua County? In your narrative, include relevant statistics and data to support the premise that the program is needed in Alachua County. What is the history of this program?
3.	Program Eligibility Criteria: List the criteria participants must meet in order to be accepted into the program
4.	Program Partners: List program partners and what each partner will provide.
5.	Category Fit: Based on the approved funding category descriptions contained in the Application Information & Instructions, state which category your program fits into and how the program fits into the listed category criteria.
6.	Poverty Reduction: How will the program reduce poverty in Alachua County?
7.	Program Effectiveness: State what evidence is there that the program will be successful. (e.g., Is there a history of program success? Is this a Best Practice program? Has the program been successful in other locales?)
8.	Anticipated Program Challenges: Other than funding, list or describe the challenges your organization expects to encounter with the program.
9.	Duplication of Services: What other agencies in Alachua County provide the same or similar services? How is your program unique?
10.	Client Increase: Will requested Alachua County funding increase the number of clients that were served by the program in 2009-10?Yes. If "Yes", by how much?No. If "No", why?
11.	Funding Increase: If the same program is being funded by 2009/10 CAPP funds, is your current request an increase in the amount of funds received? If yes, why is the increase being requested?
12.	Waiting List: Is there currently a waiting list for agency services?YesNo a. If yes, which programs have a waiting list?

13. M	atching Funds:
a)	Will CAPP funds be used as matching funds?YesNo
b)	If so, what is the source of this funding?
c)	Total amount of funding through this source ("b" above): \$
d)	What dollar amount is required to draw down the funds listed in "c"? \$
e)	Will CAPP funds be the sole source of the local funding needed to draw down the funds?
f)	What other funding sources will be used to meet the match requirement?
g)	Ratio of match: : (Match Source : Local Funding)
h)	Give details of the match arrangement and what role CAPP funds play in the arrangement.
4 I e	veraged Funds:
	Will CAPP funds be used to leverage other funds or resources?YesNo
	If so, what is the source of these funds or resources?
	Describe the leverage arrangement and include what, if any, percentage/amount of in-kind contributions
c)	will be used and what role CAPP funds play in the arrangement.
	eligious Activities: Each CAPP grantee is responsible for full and complete compliance with all applicable
	ules and regulations, including compliance with Article I, Section 3 of the Florida Constitution. CAPP
	annot be used for any program, project or activity that promotes religion, has the primary effect of
dvanc	ing religion, or teaches the religious doctrines of a particular sect.
a)	Will program participants be required to participate in any religious activities as a condition of receiving program services? $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Yes $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ No
b)	If yes, please describe the religious activity(ies):
c)	Are religion-related activities offered to participants?YesNo
47	If yes, please describe.
	a) b) c) d) e) e) f) g) h) h) l14. Le a) b) c) l15. Re a) b) c) l15. Re a) b) c) c)

Section 4: PROGRAM STATISTICS AGENCY NAME: PROGRAM NAME: 2008-2009 2009-2010 2010-2011 TOTAL NUMBER OF UNDUPLICATED ALACHUA COUNTY CLIENTS/PARTICIPANTS ACTUAL ACTUAL/EST PROJECTED GENDER TOTAL Male Female Gender Unknown Family TOTAL AGE 0 to 4 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years 20 to 34 years 35 to 54 years 55 to 64 years 65 and over age unknown ETHNICITY TOTAL White Black Hispanic Asian Indian Other HOUSEHOLD INCOME TOTAL Below \$10,000 \$10,000 to 14,999 \$15,000 to \$19,999 \$20,000 to 29,999

NOTE: An unduplicated client is an individual who is counted one time during the contract year, even though that individual may receive multiple services or one service multiple times.

\$30,000 and above Income Unknown

Section 5: CAPP PROGRAM PERFORMANCE DATA

Insert the program's 2008/09 CAPP End-of Year report: If the program received CAPP funds in 2008/09, insert the program's 2008/09 CAPP End-of-Year report. If the program did not receive CAPP funds in 2008/09, check this block: N/A, program did not receive CAPP funding in 2008/09. 2. Insert the program's 2007/08 CAPP End-of-Year report: If the program received CAPP funds in 2007/08, insert the program's 2007/08 End-of-Year CAPP report. N/A, program did not receive CAPP funding in 2007/08.

TAB: LOGIC Section 6-A: SCHEDULE K-1: PROGRAM LOGIC MODEL Alachua County Community Agency Partnership Program

PROGRAM:

AGENCY:

ogram Summary:			
ng-Term Program Goals:			
INPUTS - Resources dedicated to the services	ACTIVITIES – Services provided to the participants	OUTPUTS – How much of the services will be provided to how many	OUTCOMES – Measurable benefits for participants
			1.
		1	2.
			3.

Section 8: FUNDING INFORMATION & RATIONALE

FUNDING INTENT: It is the intent of the Alachua County Board of County Commissioners that CAPP funds are to be used for direct program costs, rather than agency operational costs. Funds are not intended for "the cost of doing business". Funds are not to be used to maintain the program and enhance and enrich program participants; however, general office supplies, such as paper, pens, printer cartridges, staples, etc. are allowed. Funds deemed as solely or primarily as maintaining, enhancing or enriching the agency as opposed to the program and its participants will not be approved.

FUNDING RESTRICTIONS:

- Capital expenditures (items that cost \$1,000 or more) are not allowed if that item is going to stay with or benefit the
 agency, rather than the program or program participants directly. For example, an agency may not purchase a computer
 that costs \$1,000 or more that will stay with the agency after the grant period ends. Even if an item is less than \$1,000,
 that does not mean it will be allowed. For example, CAPP funds would not be authorized to purchase employee office
 furniture, regardless of the amount.
 - 2. Lawn/Building maintenance and repairs are not allowed. Pest control and cleaning services are not allowed.
- Land/Building, building improvements and improvements other than buildings are not allowed if the agency is
 the owner, leaseholder, occupant or future occupant.
- 4. Rent or mortgage payments are authorized only if program participants are sheltered or housed at the location.
- Utilities: Authorized if used for the program participants. If the building houses non-program participants (such as staff) the amount/percentage of utilities used for the program must be calculated and only that amount/percentage is authorized for reimbursement.
- Vehicles: The purchase of vehicles is not allowed. Rental of a vehicle is allowed for transporting program participants to a single event, such as needing to rent a van to transport multiple individuals to a particular venue.
- 7. Vehicle maintenance: If the vehicle is essential to the operation of the program, its maintenance is allowed.
- 8. Gasoline: Gasoline is not allowed, except in the case of rental of a vehicle as needed to transport program participants to a single event, such as needing to rent a van to transport multiple individuals to a particular venue. In this case, gasoline for the rental van would be allowed.
- 9. Fundraising: Agency fundraising expenses are not allowed.
- 10. Storage facilities: If a storage facility is essential to program operation, the expense is allowed.
- Insurance: Insurance premiums of any type are not allowed.
- 12. Membership Fees or Dues: Membership fees or dues are not allowed.
- Staff/Volunteer Gifts/Awards/Recognition: Gifts, awards or other expenses related to employee or volunteer celebration or recognition events or activities are not allowed.

Section 8: PROGRAM FUNDING REQUEST RATIONALE

	the state of the s
AGENCY:	PROGRAM:

Provide a detailed rationale here for the CAPP funding requested. Explain how the funds will be used for the program, applicable amounts and/or percentages, and the reason for requesting what is being requested. Include actual costs when possible of the items the agency wishes CAPP funds to pay for. If the costs are expected to increase, note this in your rationale.

Program-Related Salaries, Payroll Taxes, Employment Benefits

Describe the type(s) of position(s), the rationale for the position, and the amount of funding requested for the position(s). Indicate the Full Time Equivalent (FTE) based on the standard workweek for the type of position. FTE is determined by dividing the standard number of weekly hours (e.g. 40 hours) for the type of position (e.g. caseworker) into the actual work hours to be funded by the program. Describe what each position provides to the program and the percentage of time the position devotes to the program.

Supplies

List and describe supplies and associated costs of supplies necessary for operation of the program. Agency general office supplies are allowed.

Vehicles: Rental/Repairs/Maintenance

List each incidence of anticipated vehicle rental, what the venue will be (the specific event and where the participants will be transported), why a rental vehicle is needed for the transport, how the need for the venue transportation is related to the program, and associated costs (rental and gasoline). Insurance or extra coverage on the rental vehicle are not allowed expenses. For maintenance costs of a vehicle that is essential to program operation, state the type of vehicle (pickup truck, van, delivery truck, etc.), how it is essential to the program and estimated costs of repairs and maintenance.

Program Equipment Rentals and Maintenance

Describe the equipment and costs associated with purchase, rental, or maintenance required for operation of the program. Office equipment rentals and maintenance, such as copiers, scanners, printers and postage meters are not allowed.

Contracted Services

Describe the type of professional/technical service(s), why the contracted service is needed in respect to program operation, an estimated number of hours for each type of service, and the amount of funding requested for the service.

Food/Food-Related Items

State what type of food needs to be purchased, purpose/why the food needs to be purchased, how it will be used and projected cost. Describe what controls will be in place to ensure the food is used for its intended purpose. For food-related items, describe the items, why they are necessary to the program and associated costs.

· Storage

Describe storage needed, why the storage is essential to the program and projected cost.

Program-Related Travel

Provide a description of and rationale for each type of travel to be supported with project funds, such as travel related to daily transportation of clients, delivery of meals to clients, or staff attendance at required conference(s) or trainings. Provide the cost of travel and method of determining cost. Do not include vehicle rental or repair/maintenance in this category.

Program Marketing/Communications

List and describe costs associated with program marketing and communications. Cell phone, internet services, postage and printing are included in this category. General office internet for employees is not an allowable expenditure; however, if the internet access is for the program participants, then this would be allowed. Phones for general office usage are not allowed. Cell phones for on-call program staff or in-field program staff are allowed.

Miscellaneous

This category is for allowable items or services not listed above. List each item or service, why it is necessary to the program and associated costs.

TAB: AWARD Section 9: PROGRAM AWARD LEVELS

AGEN	C1.			I ROGRAM.	
1.	0% Funding: If the p	rogram is not	approved f	or CAPP funding will the program be provided to	Alachua
	County residents?	Yes	No	Partially	
	Describe in detail how	the program	will functio	n at what level etc if no funding is received from	CAPP.

- 2. 25% Funding: If the program receives only 25% of the requested amount, will the program be provided to
 Alachua County residents? Yes No Partially
 Describe in detail how the program will function, at what level, etc. if 25% funding is received from CAPP:
- 50% Funding: If the program receives only 50% of the requested amount, will the program be provided to
 Alachua County residents? Yes No Partially
 Describe in detail how the program will function, at what level, etc. if 50% funding is received from CAPP:
- 4. 75% Funding: If the program receives only 75% of the requested amount, will the program be provided to Alachua County residents? Yes No Partially Describe in detail how the program will function, at what level, etc. if 75% funding is received from CAPP:

TAB: AGENCY Section 10: AGENCY INFORMATION (10 questions)

GENCY:	- Designation of the second of
1. <u>Ag</u>	ency Mission Statement:
2. Ag	ency History:
	The second secon
3. Ag	ency Accomplishments in Alachua County:
4. Ag	ency Funding Diversification & Sustainability:
	What agency diversification of funding efforts were made in 2008/2009 and 2009/2010?
	What has the agency accomplished during the past two years toward increasing agency sustainability?
c)	What steps toward further sustainability have been or will be taken for 2010/2011?
. n.	The four price and the
5. E-C	Is the agency signed up with the County's eCivis grants locator service?YesNo
	Did the agency search eCivis for grants prior to this application? Yes No
	What potential new funding sources have been identified through eCivis?
	If no new potential funding sources were identified, why not?
۵)	If no new potential randing sources were tabilities, why next
6. Fu	ndraising:
	a) 09/10 fund-raising goal: \$
	b) 09/10 amount raised: \$
	c) 10/11 fundraising goal: \$
	d) How will funds be raised for the 10/11 budget year?
7. In-	Kind Contributions:
	Does the agency have in-kind contributions/arrangements in the area of building lease/rent/mortgage of
	building maintenance?YesNo
	If yes, give a brief explanation of the arrangement and the amount saved:
b)	Does the agency have in-kind contributions/arrangements in the area of professional services/outside
	consultants?YesNo
	If yes, give a brief explanation of the arrangement and the amount saved:
c)	If the agency has other in-kind services that you would like to mention, please state here:
	Boundary White Control of the Contro

Name of Grant	Source	Amount	Date Applied	Expiration Dat
9. Agency Volunteers	<u>s</u> :			

Agency Name:		Natio	nal Agency	Reg	ional Agency	Neith	er National nor Re	egional			
Complete this table with inform Alachua County office.	nation regar	ding ageno	y staffing	g, not just p	rogram staffir	ng. If the ag	ency is national	or regional	, list just the p	ositions perta	uning to the
Position Title (not staff names)	Persons filling Positions Ourrently Gender Ethnic Disabled Filled?				Last Year's Salary	Current Salary	% Difference Last/Current	Proposed Salary	% Difference Current/Prop		\$ Amount of CAPP Funding
										M ordina	
										-47	
										1 1201	
Grand Total - All Salaries	-		150.00	-	Trible Sol	02	35 8 E - v	S0	0.90	\$0	SI

TAB: BOARD

Section 12: AGENCY ROARD INFORMATION

AGENCY:						
*(If the agency has a followed by the state of Board members of Board members of meetings he	formal local Board, income bers required by agencientings supposed to be a during the past year by-laws set a term lin	clude only the member cy by-laws e held? r Average at nit a volunteer may so	er information for the	Board? Yes No local Board.) Directors? Yes No		
Name	Board Position	Business Affiliation/ Title	Telephone & Fax number	Gender Ethnicity Disabled	Continuous Years on Board	Curren Term Expiratio
	New Tell Hille Street	it into the real	TYCHOL W SEC	or the management of		
	The edge	Guilla Marie	Clare on the land	is Hamispourer	er-ng.	
	F-1					
	The through	to make the	THE STREET			177
	7/1	William In the second	- Fo to	4 . 4 . 1	T	
						44
	74					
			- Management I as			
				100	in the man	
	_				11.	
	_	-			10/10/10/10	110.72

Section 13: APPLICATION CHECKLIST

A			

Below is a checklist of items that are to be added to the end of the application, under the Misc (Miscellaneous) tab. The items are listed in the order in which they should be placed. Place a check mark in the appropriate column. If you do not have an item, explain why at the bottom of the page.

		Yes	No
1.	Copy of current, approved Board of Directors By-Laws		J. Den
2.	Copies of minutes from last 12 months Board of Directors meetings. (If you are a national or regional organization and you have a local Board or Advisory/Steering Committee that keeps minutes, you may supply just the local group's last 12 months of minutes.)	eti —	Date of the State
3.	Copy of IRS determination letter declaring agency tax exempt under 26 USC 501 (c) (3)		
4.	Copy of any correspondence received between 10/1/06 and 9/30/09 from the IRS regarding agency's 501(c)(3) status		
5.	Proof of Active status with the Florida Department of State Division of Corporations (go to http://www.sunbiz.org/search.html , type in business name, click on name, print out only the "Detail by Entity" page that shows organization's Status as 'Active'.		
6.	Report on previous year's activities (e.g., Annual Report)		
7.	Copy of most recent Agency Audit or Financial Review		
8.	Copy of Agency EEO policy		
9.	Program Success Story		
10.	Signed & Dated RFA 11-198 Addenda		

Explanation for all 'No' items:

2010/11 CAPP APPLICATION SCORING PROCEDURE (11-198)

Scoring Process

The 7 members of the CAPP Advisory Board (CAPPAB) will review and assign a score for each written Program Application and each Oral Presentation Program Application. Each member's scores on the written and oral portion of each program are totaled to create a single score. The scores of all members on that particular application are then added together th form the total score. Using the table below, the score determines for which level of funding the program is qualified. If a program scores below 78.4, the program is ineligible for funding. Regardless of the (qualified) score. funding may not be recommended or awarded.

Advisory Board Discussion

While the overall raw score is an important variable, it is not the ultimate deferminant of funding allocation recommendations. The overall score provides a starting point for deliberations among the members of the CAPP Advisory Board. During deliberations, members can offer their unique perspectives and practical insights based on measo of professional expertise and documnuity involvement.

Funding Recommendations

The CAPP Advisory Board arrives at a consensus, not vote, regarding the funding recommendations it makes to the Board of County Commissioners. The recommendations are presented to the Board of County Commissioners, who then decide whether to accept the recommendations in part or in whole or reject the recommendation in the reference that the resource is the resource of the reference that the recommendation is reject to the recommendation in the reference that the resource is the resource of the reference that the resource is the resource of the reference that the resource is the resource of the resource that the resource is the resource of the resource that the resource is the resource of the

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

The meeting for funding decisions will be in August. Each applicant will be notified of the date and time of the meeting so that they may attend if desired.

SCORING RANGES: 1) The final score is the combined score of the written application and the oral presentation. 2) Regardless of an applicant's eligibility score, there are no guarantees that funding at <u>any</u> level will be recommended or received.

up to 100%	Score Range	Equals	109.5 - 125.0
up to 75%	Score Range	Equals	94.5 - 109.4
up to 50%	Score Range	Equals	78.5 - 93.4
No Funding	Score Range	Equals	Below 78.4

2010/11 CAPP WRITTEN APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (11-198)

1. Application Accuracy & Quality/Completeness of Information: Degree to which required information was provided; the degree to which the information given was clearly understandable and sufficiently informative; the degree that the application packet was compiled

according to instruction.

Reflects attention of applicant to providing all requested information, providing appropriate and adequate information in a clear, concise

and comprehensive manner and compiling the application according to instructions.

<u>Highest score</u> = all information was provided and in the order as instructed, information was concise, easily and readily understood but comprehensive in scope, packet was compiled properly and in a uset-friendly manner.

Lowest score = excluded requested information, information given was not easily understood, packet was not compiled according to instructions, packet was not compiled in a user-friendly manner.

Need for Services & Alignment with BOCC Priorities: Degree to which it was demonstrated that the program is needed in Alachua County in order to reduce poverty and the degree to which the program aligns with the priorities of the Board of County Commissioners.

Reflects how well the application demonstrates, primarily through data unique to Alachua County, that there is justification for services in Alachua County in order to reduce poverty; reflects how well the program's services coincide with the priorities and parameters set by the Board of County Commissioners.

Highest score = impending need for services adequately and clearly demonstrated, data provided is specific to Alachua County, aligns impeccably with the priorities and parameters set by the Board of County Commissioners.

Lowest score = no data provided/data does not support that need is impending/data is not specific to Alachua County and program does not align with BoCC priorities and parameters.

3. Proposed Services and Impact on Poverty: Degree to which the proposed services will impact poverty.

Rates how well it is demonstrated that the program's services will impact poverty in Alachua County; how well the applicant demonstrated that the program strategy is credible and likely to achieve its goal.

Highest score = program strategy's success or likelihood of success is well-documented and justified by the applicant, high impact on poverty

Lowest score = minimal impact on poverty, strategy's history of success or likelihood of success not demonstrated by applicant

Uniqueness of Services: Degree to which program services are unique to Alachua County

Reflects the availability of these services within the county overall, as measured by number of agencies providing service and not merely number of locations of requesting agency.

Highest score = no other agencies provide the service to Alachua County residents

Low score = services readily available from other agencies/organizations

2010/11 CAPP WRITTEN APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (11-198)

5. Eligibility Criteria: Degree to which program eligibility criteria is documented and reasonable/justified

Rates how well the eligibility criteria are defined, how justified and reasonable the criteria, how well the criteria fit the targeted audience

<u>High score</u> = agency has very specific and clearly stated eligibility criteria, the criteria are reasonable and justified, the criteria fit the targeted audience.

<u>Low score</u> = agency has no firmly established guidelines/policies for eligibility or criteria are unreasonable/unjustified and will exclude intended audience.

6. Program Performance Measures: Degree to which performance can be measured (Logic Model)

Reflects the ability of the agency to set achievable and measurable outcomes and the ability to accurately measure program performance <u>Highest score</u> = Inputs, Activities and Outputs are adequate and will achieve the Outcomes, Outcomes are realistic and measurable, Outcomes are achieved within the time frame of the grant, Outcomes are practical (not set too low or too high), there are 3 – 5 Outcomes set, measurement tools are comprehensive and will measure what is intended to be measured.

Low score = measures are not clearly developed; Outcomes are not likely to be achieved or are inadequate

7. Budget: Degree to which the program budget plan is accurate and justified; degree of value for dollars spent

Rates to what degree the program budget plan is clearly outlined and justified; rates how well the applicant following the provided funding guidelines; rates the degree of value for dollars spent

<u>High score</u> = A realistic and accurate program budget with reasonable cost for providing services is outlined; excellent value/return for Alachua County monies expended; expenses are allowable under applicant funding guidelines

Low score = program budget plan is not justified, is vague, inaccurate or incomplete; there is a low return for Alachua County monies spent; applicant did not follow funding guidelines

8. Funding Diversification: Degree of agency funding diversity

Rates how well the agency budget projects a diversified funding base and supports program sustainability

<u>Highest score</u> = Agency's funding is strong and/or diverse enough to ensure against program interruption; agency demonstrates that it does not rely solely on one or two sources of funding, including CAPP; significant match or leverage arrangement.

<u>Low score</u> = Agency makes little attempt to seek out additional funding sources; agency appears to be heavily reliant on CAPP funds in order to operate.

2010/11 CAPP WRITTEN APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA (11-198)

9. History, Accomplishments & Sustainability: Degree of how long the agency has been providing services and its impact on Alachua

County; degree to which the agency's future stability is certain

Rates how successful the agency has been in providing services and rates the degree of agency sustainability. Does the agency describe major accomplishments in Alachua County? Does the agency have a strong structural and financial foundation? Is and has the agency taken measures to ensure its continued viability?

High score = agency has a successful history of providing needed services to Alachua County residents; agency demonstrates it is sound financially and structurally; agency demonstrates its commitment to and has taken measures toward ensuring continued existence and viability.

Low score = Agency does not have a history of major accomplishment; agency's foundation is not structurally or financially sound; agency is not taking measures to ensure its continued existence.

10. Partnerships/Collaborations: Degree and quality of agency collaborations

Rates the agency's success at establishing viable collaborations and the ability to utilize those partnerships

Highest score agency has viable, productive partners; the choice of collaborators demonstrates that the agency is familiar with and recognizes common ground with other entities; formal agreements (e.g., MOU/MOA) exist

Low score = agency's partnerships are unproductive; agency does seek out or has failed at collaborative efforts

2010-11 CAPP WRITTEN APPL' ATION SCORING SHEET (11-198)

RETURN TO DARRYL/LEE

EVALUATOR:		HIGHLOW						OW			
TOTAL SCORE:	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
AGENCY: PROGRAM:	1 1 2 10-1										
1. Application Accuracy & Quality/Completeness of Information	HIGH circle oneLOW						ow	8 -			
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
2. Need for Services & Alignment with BOCC Priorities		н	GH		(ircle on	e		L(w	
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
3. Proposed Services & Impact on Poverty		HIGHLOW									
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
4. Uniqueness of Services	100	HIGHLOW									
* TP 1 19 C 1 1	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
5. Eligibility Criteria	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
6. Program Performance Measures	10 14 10 F 30	н	GH		circle oneLOW						
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2 '	1	0
7. Budget		HIGHLOW									
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
unding Diversification	HIGHLOW										
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. History, Accomplishments & Sustainability		HIGHLOW							_		
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Partnerships/Collaborations	and principle	HIGHLOW							_		
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Program Quality & Performance Measures: Degree of quality of agency's program.

Were program services explained thoroughly? Is the program well-planned? Will the agency be able to execute the program with the designated resources? Are program outcomes attainable, measureable and realistic? How well was it demonstrated that the program has the likelihood of success?

High score = presenter conveys a high-quality, realistic, well-thought-out program that will clearly have a positive impact on program participants; resources will be adequately and judiciously utilized; eligibility criteria are sound; performance measures are achievable within the time frame and with the resources; paid and unpaid staff/volunteers are qualified to render the services; evidence was cited that supports the likelihood of program success.

Low score =. Presenter does not convey a realistic, well-organized program; the funds being requested are not in keeping with the program's components; outcomes are not likely to be attained; paid/unpaid staff do not have proper qualifications or training; little to no evidence to support that the program will work.

Program Need & Impact on Poverty Reduction: Degree to which the agency justified the need for the program; the degree to which the agency clearly
linked the program to poverty reduction and with the priorities and
poverty, degree to which needed services are unique.

Reflects how well the presentation demonstrates justification for program services specifically in Alachua County; how well the program will reduce poverty and to what extent; the degree to which there are no other agencies that provide these needed program services; the degree to which the program aligns with the priorities and parameters set by the County Commission for this funding.

High score = program is commensurate with Commission priorities and parameters program need in Alachua County is clearly demonstrated and program goals/objectives are likely to have a significant impact on reducing poverty reduction; program services are not available or readily available through other local assencies.

Low score = little or non-specific to Alachua County data showing need; program goals and objectives are not closely aligned with reduction of poverty; program does not align with BoCC priorities or parameters; program will have little overall impact on poverty.

3. Agency Capability & Sustainability: Degree to which the agency's presentation revealed a history of success in the community; degree of demonstrated agency ability to carry out its mission; degree of agency stability and sustainability; degree of sound or diversified funding sources; fundraising

Reflects the capability of the agency to carry out and complete a quality program with little to no threat of program disruption.

Highest score = agency has a proven track record; stable, ample resources and there is little to no threat of program disruption; agency demonstrated that it has taken steps to ensure sustainability, agency has a strong Board of Directors; agency demonstrates its commitment to fund diversification; there is a vigorous fundraising history and plan.

Lowest score = agency does not have a proven track record; lacks stable, ample resources; there is a reasonable threat of program disruption due to instability or a lack of sustainability measures and actions: fundraising history is weak and/or fundraising blan is weak/insufficient.

Resources & Budget: Degree to which the agency demonstrates a sound, justified budget; degree of return for dollars spent; degree of program resources

Rates the quality of the agency's program resources and budget and the degree of return for Alachua County dollars spent.

Highest score = Significant match/leverage; high return for Alachua County dollars spent; partnerships/collaboration/program resources are appropriate and sufficient. Lowest score = Little return on dollars spent; partnerships/collaborations/program resources are insufficient; no match or leverage arrangement.

5. Completeness of Information: Degree to which the presentation adequately provided the above information; degree to which Advisory Board members' questions were answered satisfactorily.

Rates how thorough the presentation was in providing the information requested; how well the presenters explained and appeared to understand agency and program details; and the degree to which questions from Advisory Board members were answered satisfactorily

High score = Presenters addressed all required areas; the program was thoroughly and clearly explained; presenters were forthcoming with answers to questions from Advisory Board members, the answers demonstrated thorough knowledge of the agency and program.

Low score = Presenters did not cover all required areas; presenters did not clearly explain the program; questions from Advisory Board members were not answered satisfactorily.

EVALUATOR: SCORE:			HIGHCircle OneLOW						
		TOTAL	5	4	3	2	1	0	
AG	ENCY:								
PRO	OGRAM:								
1.	Program Quality & Performance Measures		HIGH ———————————————————————————————————						
	ENCY: OGRAM:		5	4	3	2	1	0	
2.	Program Need & Impact on Poverty Reduction	1 - 1	HIGHCircle OneLOW				7		
			5	4	3	2	1	0	
3.	Agency Capability & Sustainability		HIGHCircle OneLOW						
			5	4	3	2	1	0	
1.	Resources & Budget		HIGHCircle OneLOW					W	
			5	4	3	2	1	0	
5.	Completeness of Information		HIG	HIGH ———————————————————————————————————					
			5	4	3	2	1	0	

- . DATES:
 - July 12 16, 2009 and possibly July 19 21, 2010
- LOCATION: Alachua County Dept. of Community Support Services, 218 SE 24th Street, Gainesville, FL 32641
- MANDATORY: Agencies MUST give an oral presentation in order to be considered for funding.
- RESCHEDULE: Once scheduled, no changes are allowed.
- 5. 15-MINUTE PRESENTATION PER PROGRAM TIME LIMIT: Agencies will be scheduled 15 minutes of presentation time per program. Presentations are timed and will not be allowed to go beyond the 15-minute limit for each program. The presentation will be followed by questions from Advisory Board members. Each applicant is particularly encouraged to bring individual(s) who are familiar with agency and program finances/budgets. There is no limit to the number of persons each applicant is allowed to bring to the presentation to assist with the presentation or with the Q & A period.
- AUDIO/VISUAL EQUIPMENT: The following media equipment is available for your use: Laptop with cd/dvd drive and projector; dry erase board; flipchart stand.
 - POWERPOINT PRESENTATION: If you are going to use a PowerPoint presentation, you will need to have it on a jump/flash drive, where it can be plugged into our laptop. You will need to bring someone with you to operate the controls.
- HANDOUTS & MATERIALS: No handouts or materials may be distributed or left for/with the Advisory Board.
- INFORMATION CONTAINED IN ORAL PRESENTATION: Each presentation is scored in the following areas: (see "Oral Presentation Scoring Criteria" for complete details.)
 - · Program Quality & Performance Measures
 - · Program Need & Impact on Poverty Reduction
 - · Agency Capability & Sustainability
 - · Resources & Budget
 - Completeness of Information

CAPP FY2010/11 AWARDS

AGENCY	PROGRAM	AWARD	TOTAL AMT
ACORN Clinic	Dental	\$45,002	
ACORN Clinic	Medical	\$90,001	
ACORN Clinic	Archer Family Health Care	\$28,801	\$163,804
Alachua County Homeless & Hungry Coalition	ACCHH	\$22,501	\$22,501
ARC	Day Program	\$9,001	\$9,001
Bread of the Mighty Food Bank	HOPE Preserved for Alachua	\$54,002	\$54,002
Catholic Charities	Emergency Assistance	\$33,751	\$33,751
Children's Home Society	Family Partners Program	\$14,041	\$14,041
Easter Seals	Altrusa House	\$14,851	\$14,851
Elder Care of Alachua County	Al'z Place	\$43,201	E-STATE OF STREET
Elder Care of Alachua County	Older Americans Act	\$74,605	\$117,806
Epilepsy Foundation of Florida	Epilepsy Services	\$10,801	\$10,801
Florida Organic Growers (FOG)	GIFT Gardens	\$20,701	\$20,701
Gainesville Harvest	Surplus Food Redistribution	\$72,002	\$72,002
Interfaith Hospitality Network	IHN Shelter	\$22,501	\$22,501
Lazarus Restoration Ministries	Self-Sufficiency Housing	\$16,437	\$16,437
Peaceful Paths	Gallenkamp Emergency Shelter	\$94,685	\$94,685
Planned Parenthood	Teen Clinic	\$31,501	\$31,501
Rebuilding Together North Central Florida	Community Weatherization Coalition	\$29,701	West annual Control
Rebuilding Together North Central Florida	Housing Rehabilitation	\$27,001	\$56,702
St. Francis House	Case Management	\$11,628	
St. Francis House	Food Distribution	\$15,671	Dillicial
St. Francis House	Housing	\$14,410	\$41,709
United Way	School-Based Dental Sealant	\$13,501	
United Way	Weekend Hunger Backpack	\$90,001	\$103,502
TOTAL	Charles a Thursday and a superior of a service of	\$900,297	\$ 900,29